

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

Case Reference: 324113

Brenda and Mary Gannon

Moanbaun

Athenry

Galway

H65TV77

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála

64 Marlborough Street

Dublin 1

D01 V902

Date: 24 April 2026

Re: Observation to the proposed development of open-cycle gas turbine (OCGT) and generator with ancillary equipment.

Location: Pollnagroagh and Rathmorrissy (Townlands), Athenry, Co. Galway

Applicant: Bord Gáis Energy Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

We have lived in the area for over 50 years, our children and grandchildren live in the area. We absolutely disagree and object to the construction of this plant which will have detrimental effects on our health and the local environment.

High-Intensity Emissions and Diesel Impacts

I am concerned about the potential impact of air pollution from this proposed development. Pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NOx) and fine particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) are known to damage air quality, irritate the lungs, and contribute to long-term harm to both human health and the environment. Although the plant would not operate continuously, it may run at extremely high output when required, leading to short but intense bursts of pollution, particularly during start-up and peak demand periods. The possible use of diesel during these times is especially worrying, as it produces higher levels of harmful emissions, including nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide, and particulate matter.

These pollutants can penetrate deep into the lungs and enter the bloodstream, increasing the risk of respiratory and cardiovascular illness, particularly for vulnerable groups such as children, older people, and those with existing health conditions. Fine particulate matter can also travel long distances and accumulate over time, meaning the impacts may extend beyond the immediate area and persist in the long term. In summary, I have reservations regarding the thoroughness of the assessment of these emissions. This issue presents significant implications for public health and environmental protection, especially in relation to EU air quality standards established by Directive 2008/50/EC.

Organic Farming

As a local organic farmer, I am very concerned about the potential impact this proposed peaker plant could have on my farm and others in the area. Organic farming is governed by strict European standards and requires high environmental quality, including keeping soil, crops, and water free from contamination. Airborne pollutants from the plant, particularly those linked to diesel such as nitrogen oxides and fine particulate matter, could settle onto land and crops, posing a risk to organic certification even at low levels.

There are also concerns about contamination through water and soil pathways, including runoff or accidental spills from fuel storage. Organic farming relies on healthy soil, clean water, and a balanced ecosystem, and any disruption to these could undermine the integrity of production. The consequences of losing organic certification would be severe, including loss of premium markets, significant financial impacts, and a re-conversion period of up to two years. Overall, there is serious concern that this development poses a disproportionate and inadequately assessed risk to organic farming and sustainable livelihoods in the area.

Cumulative Impact on Child's Development

As a parent in the area, I am concerned about the impact of fine particulate matter over time. These pollutants can travel long distances and accumulate, meaning children may be exposed not only during peak pollution events but also through ongoing low-level exposure. The cumulative effect of this is particularly worrying, as repeated exposure during key stages of growth and development could have lasting impacts on lung development and overall health. From a community perspective, this raises serious concerns about the long-term safety of this development for children, and it is not clear that these cumulative impacts have been fully considered.

Inadequate Assessment of Traffic Impacts

The placement of a site entrance at this hazardous location on the L3103 raises serious safety concerns. The road is already constrained by its narrow width, the absence of a hard shoulder, and extremely poor visibility due to blind dips and sharp corners, yet the Environmental Impact Assessment does not appear to fully address the safety implications of introducing an access point at this location. There are also concerns that the cumulative impact of additional traffic has not been properly assessed, including construction traffic, ongoing operational traffic, and fuel deliveries, and the interaction between heavy goods vehicles and existing road users—such as local traffic, school-related movements, and agricultural machinery—has not been examined in sufficient detail. Overall, the lack of a thorough and robust traffic safety assessment creates significant uncertainty as to whether the local road network can safely accommodate this development.

Risk of Fire and Explosion from Fuel Storage

As someone living in the area, I am very concerned about the safety risks associated with this proposed development. The project involves the storage, handling, and use of highly flammable fuels such as natural gas and diesel, which carry an inherent risk of fire or explosion. In the event of equipment malfunctions,

leaks, or operational challenges, these substances may pose an ignition risk, potentially resulting in significant incidents. Considering the intermittent yet high-intensity operation of a peaker plant, the likelihood of such occurrences warrants careful consideration.

The potential consequences are particularly worrying, as any incident could have serious impacts on nearby homes, residents, farmland, and livestock. This raises significant concerns about whether the risks have been fully assessed and whether this location is appropriate for a development of this nature.

Scale, Integration, and Rural Context

There are serious concerns that the scale and industrial nature of the proposed development are not in keeping with the surrounding rural environment. Building large-scale plants, structures, and infrastructure would result in a prominent addition to the landscape that does not match the area's current appearance. There is no evidence showing this development could blend into its environment or that its visual effects could be properly reduced. This raises concerns in relation to the Galway County Development Plan, particularly Policy GB1, which requires that developments be designed and located in a manner that allows them to integrate effectively into the landscape.

Lock-in of Fossil Fuel Infrastructure

There are serious concerns that the proposed development represents new fossil fuel infrastructure with a long operational lifespan, potentially extending to at least 2050, which risks locking in carbon-intensive energy generation at a time when national and EU policy require rapid decarbonisation. Investment in gas-fired infrastructure of this nature may delay or displace the development of renewable energy and energy storage solutions, leading to continued reliance on fossil fuels over the long term. Overall, there is concern that the proposal is not aligned with current climate objectives and may undermine the transition to a low-carbon energy system.

Operational Uncertainty and Lack of Enforceable Limits

There are concerns that the Environmental Impact Assessment relies on assumed operational scenarios rather than fully assessing worst-case conditions. As the plant will operate in response to electricity demand, there is uncertainty regarding how frequently or intensively it may run, including periods when diesel will be used, potentially resulting in higher emissions than those modelled. Without a thorough evaluation of the worst-case scenario, it is not possible to confidently rule out the possibility of major environmental impacts.

Conclusion

This proposal presents important concerns regarding people, public health, agriculture, and the surrounding environment. Because the documentation is complex and community engagement has been limited, many individuals have found it challenging to take part in the decision-making process. Communities should not face uncertain or potentially substantial environmental risks. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that planning permission be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Mary
Gannon

Name: Brenda and Mary Gannon

Date: 24 April 2026